

LOCALIZED COHOMOLOGY AND SOME APPLICATIONS OF POPA'S COCYCLE SUPERRIGIDITY THEOREM

Asger Törnquist

July 4, 2009

Abstract

We prove that orbit equivalence of measure preserving ergodic a.e. free actions of a countable group with the relative property (T) is a complete analytic equivalence relation.

§1. INTRODUCTION

In [17], S. Popa introduced the notion of quotients of Bernoulli shifts in order to obtain an infinite family of measure preserving ergodic a.e. free orbit-inequivalent actions of a countable group Γ with the relative property (T) over an infinite normal subgroup Λ . These actions are defined as follows: Let A be a countable Abelian group, and let $\hat{A}$ be its dual (character) group, equipped with the normalized Haar measure. Let $X = \hat{A}^\Gamma$, equipped with the product measure. Then the (left) shift-action of Γ on $\hat{A}^\Gamma$ commutes with the action of $\hat{A}$, and we obtain a measure preserving a.e. free ergodic action $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ of Γ on the quotient $\hat{A}^\Gamma/\hat{A}$.

Popa proved in [17] that $\langle \sigma^{\hat{A}} : A \text{ is torsion free countable abelian} \rangle$ is a family of Γ -actions that are orbit equivalent precisely for isomorphic groups, when Γ is a group with the relative property (T) over an infinite normal subgroup Λ . The first aim of this paper is to prove this without any normality assumption on the subgroup Λ :

THEOREM 1. *Suppose Γ is a countable discrete group with the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup Λ , and A and A' are countably infinite Abelian groups. Then $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ are orbit equivalent iff $A \simeq A'$.*

The result relies on Popa’s cocycle superrigidity Theorem, [18]. Specifically, we exploit the “local” untwisting Theorem, [18, Theorem 5.2], to obtain information about the action of the subgroup $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ in relation to the action of the ambient group Γ .

Theorem 1 has an interesting consequence for the complexity of orbit equivalence for groups with the relative property (T). Namely, in §5 we will show that the family $\langle \sigma^{\hat{A}} \rangle$ is Borel with respect to the parameter A . More precisely, let $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, [0, 1])$ denote the natural Polish space of measure preserving actions of Γ on $[0, 1]$, and let $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ be the natural Polish space of countably infinite abelian groups. Let $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1])$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, [0, 1])$ consisting of ergodic a.e. free Γ -actions. We will show that there is a Borel $f : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1])$ with the property that $f(A)$ and $f(A')$ are orbit equivalent if and only if A and A' are isomorphic. That is, there is a *Borel reduction* of the isomorphism relation for countably infinite Abelian groups to orbit equivalence for m.p. ergodic a.e. free actions of a countable Γ with the relative property (T). It is known by [6] that the isomorphism relation in $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ is complete analytic, from which we obtain:

THEOREM 2. *Suppose Γ is a countable discrete group with the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup Λ . Then orbit equivalence, regarded as a subset of $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1]) \times \mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1])$, is a complete analytic set. In particular, it is not Borel.*

We also obtain in Corollary 5.6 the same result for conjugacy in $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1])$: Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, conjugacy is analytic, but not Borel.

Organization: In §2 we introduce the notion of “localized cohomology” which is the central tool used to distinguish the actions $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ up to orbit equivalence. In §3 we do a preliminary analysis that proves that a countable group Γ with the relative property (T) has continuum many orbit inequivalent actions. In §4 we refine this analysis to prove Theorem 1 above. Theorem 2 is proved in §5.

Research for this paper was supported in part by the Danish Natural Science Research Council grant no. 272-06-0211.

§2. LOCALIZED COHOMOLOGY

Let Γ be a countable group and σ a probability measure preserving (p.m.p.) Γ -action on standard Borel probability space (X, μ) . Recall that a 1-cocycle for σ is a measurable map $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\alpha(\gamma_1 \gamma_2, x) = \alpha(\gamma_1, \sigma(\gamma_2)(x)) \alpha(\gamma_2, x) \quad (\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma, \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X).$$

The set of all such cocycles is denoted $Z^1(\sigma)$, and forms a Polish group under pointwise multiplication, when given the subspace topology inherited from $L^\infty(X, \mathbb{T})^\Gamma$. A 1-coboundary is a cocycle $\beta \in Z^1(\sigma)$ of the form

$$\gamma_f(g, x) = f(x)^* f(\sigma(g)(x)),$$

where $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a measurable map. The coboundaries form a subgroup denoted $B^1(\sigma)$. The 1st cohomology group is then defined as

$$H^1(\sigma) = Z^1(\sigma) / B^1(\sigma).$$

We now introduce the notion of a localized coboundary:

2.1. Definition. Suppose $\Lambda < \Gamma$ is a subgroup. We say that $\beta \in Z^1(\sigma)$ is a Λ -local coboundary if there is a measurable $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Lambda) \alpha(\gamma, x) = f(x)^* f(\sigma(\gamma)(x)),$$

i.e. if $\beta|_\Lambda$ is a 1-coboundary for $\sigma|_\Lambda$. We denote by $B_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ the group of Λ -local coboundaries. The Λ -localized 1st cohomology group is defined as

$$H_\Lambda^1(\sigma) = Z^1(\sigma) / B_\Lambda^1(\sigma).$$

We can make $H_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ into a topological group by giving it the quotient topology.

The following relativization of a result of Schmidt's in [19], [20], was already noted in [17] 1.6.2, though not stated in this form. See also [7] Theorem 4.2 for a more general result along these lines.

2.2. PROPOSITION. *If Γ is a countable group with the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup $\Lambda < \Gamma$ and σ is a p.m.p. action of Γ on a standard Borel probability space (X, μ) such that $\sigma|_\Lambda$ is ergodic, then $B_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ is an open subgroup of $Z^1(\sigma)$, and $H_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ is discrete in the quotient topology.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $B_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ contains a neighbourhood of the identity. Let $Q \subseteq \Gamma$ be a finite subset and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if π is a unitary

representation of Γ with (Q, ε) -invariant vectors, then it has a non-zero Λ -invariant vector. Suppose now that $\alpha \in Z^1(\sigma)$ is such that

$$\|\alpha(\gamma, x) - 1\|_\infty < \varepsilon^2$$

for all $\gamma \in Q$. Consider the unitary representation π of Γ on $L^2(X)$ given by

$$\pi(\gamma)(f)(x) = \alpha(\gamma^{-1}, x)^{-1} f(\gamma^{-1} \cdot_\sigma x).$$

Then the constant 1 function is (Q, ε) -invariant. Hence there is a Λ -invariant non-zero $f \in L^2(X)$. Invariance amounts to

$$\alpha(\gamma^{-1}, x)^{-1} f(\gamma^{-1} \cdot_\sigma x) = f(x),$$

for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$, which is equivalent to

$$f(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) = \alpha(\gamma, x) f(x).$$

By the ergodicity of $\sigma|_\Lambda$ we have that $f(x) \neq 0$ almost everywhere. Since we also have

$$|f(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x)| = |f(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) \alpha(\gamma^{-1}, x)^{-1}| = |f(x)|$$

it follows that if $\psi(x) = f(x)/|f(x)|$ then $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\alpha(\gamma, x) = \psi(x)^* \psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$, thus proving that $B_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ is open in $Z^1(\sigma)$. $\square$

2.3. Reduced cohomology. Along with the localized cohomology group we also introduce the *reduced* localized cohomology group, $H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma)$ as follows: Let $B_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma)$ consist of all $\alpha \in Z^1(\sigma)$ of the form

$$\alpha(g, x) = f(g \cdot x) \beta(g, x) f(x)^*$$

where $\beta|_{\Lambda \times X}$ is a character (does not depend on $x \in X$). The reduced localized cohomology group is defined as

$$H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma) = Z^1(\sigma) / B_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma).$$

It is clear that if we let

$$C_\Lambda(\sigma) = \{\beta \in Z^1(\sigma) : (\exists \chi \in \text{Char}(\Lambda)) \beta(g, x) = \chi(g) \text{ a.e.}\}$$

then

$$B_r^1(\sigma) = C_\Lambda(\sigma) B^1(\sigma).$$

Further, we have:

2.4. LEMMA. *If $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$ is weakly mixing then $B^1(\sigma) \cap C_{\Lambda}(\sigma) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. It follows that for $g \in \Lambda$ we have

$$f(g \cdot x) = \beta(g)f(x).$$

Hence f is a Λ -eigenfunction. Since the Λ -action is weakly mixing, we must have $f = 1$. $\square$

2.5. *Local untwisting.* The notion of local untwisting of cocycles is, of course, the crux of Popa's construction in [18]. Much of the point of the present paper is that local untwisting suffices for certain applications.

Let Γ be a countable discrete group and Λ a subgroup, and suppose that σ is a p.m.p. action of Γ on (X, μ) . We will now consider cocycles with target group H , which is assumed to be in Popa's class of *Polish groups of finite type*, i.e. realizable as a closed subgroup of the unitary group of a finite countably generated von Neumann algebra. For our purposes the reader can assume that H is either countable discrete, or is the circle group $\mathbb{T}$.

Recall from [17], [18] that an action σ on (X, μ) is *malleable* if the flip-automorphism on $X \times X$ is in the (path) connected component of the identity in the commutator of the product action $\sigma \times \sigma$ on $X \times X$. We will now state a “local” cocycle superrigidity theorem, which was proven by Popa in [18, Theorem 5.2]. It plays a key role in the arguments in this paper.

2.6. THEOREM. (“Local” superrigidity, S. Popa [18].) *Suppose Λ is an infinite subgroup of Γ such that (Γ, Λ) has property (T). Suppose σ is a malleable p.m.p. action of Γ and that $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$ is weakly mixing. If $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow H$ is a measurable cocycle with target group in Popa's class, then there is a homomorphism $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow H$ and $\psi : X \rightarrow H$ measurable such that*

$$(\forall g \in \Lambda) \psi(g \cdot x) \alpha(g, x) \psi(x)^{-1} = \rho(g).$$

Remark. In [18], Popa shows that under various additional algebraic “weak normality” assumptions on the group $\Lambda < \Gamma$, the untwisting can be continued to the whole group, thus giving a classical type superrigidity theorem.

2.7. COROLLARY. *Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, if $\alpha \in Z^1(\sigma)$ then $\alpha|_\Lambda$ is cohomologous to a character $\chi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$.*

2.8. COROLLARY. *Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, $H_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to a countable subgroup of $\text{Char}(\Lambda)$, and $H_{\Lambda,r}^1(\sigma) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Clear from Proposition 2.2 and the previous Corollary and the definition of the reduced localized cohomology group. $\square$

We end this section by noting a fact about localized cohomology and how the relative property (T) “transfers” when we have local untwisting of cocycles, as in Theorem 2.6. This will play a crucial role in our arguments:

2.9. PROPOSITION. *Let Γ be a countable discrete group and $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ a subgroup. Suppose Γ acts by p.m.p. transformations on (X, μ) and that $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow H$ is a measurable cocycle, and there is a homomorphism $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow H$ such that $\alpha|_\Lambda = \rho$. If (Γ, Λ) has property (T) then $(H, \rho(\Lambda))$ has property (T).*

Proof. We use Jolissaint’s characterization of relative property (T), see [13]. Let (Q, ε) be a Kazhdan pair for (Γ, Λ) such that any (Q, ε) -invariant vector is within $\frac{1}{10}$ of a Λ -invariant vector. Let $Q' \subseteq H$ be a finite set such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X : \alpha(Q, x) \subseteq Q'\}) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

We claim that $(Q', \varepsilon/\sqrt{2})$ is a Kazhdan pair for $(H, \rho(\Lambda))$. To see this, let $\pi : H \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|)$ and suppose $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a $(Q', \varepsilon/\sqrt{2})$ -invariant unit vector. Define a representation π^α of Γ on $L^2(X, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$\pi^\alpha(g)(f)(x) = \pi(\alpha(g^{-1}, x)^{-1})(f(g^{-1} \cdot x)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\alpha(g_1 g_2)(f)(x) &= \pi(\alpha(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}, x)^{-1})(f(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= \pi(\alpha(g_1^{-1}, x)^{-1} \alpha(g_2^{-1}, g_1^{-1} \cdot x)^{-1})(f(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= \pi^\alpha(g_1)(\pi^\alpha(g_2)(f))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_\xi(x) = \xi$ for all $x \in X$. Then for $g \in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi^\alpha(g)(f_\xi) - f_\xi\|_{L^2(X, \mathcal{X})}^2 &= \int \|\pi(\alpha(g^{-1}, x)^{-1})(f_\xi(g^{-1} \cdot x)) - \xi\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\{x: \alpha(g, x) \in Q'\}} \|\pi(\alpha(g^{-1}, x)^{-1})(f_\xi(g^{-1} \cdot x)) - \xi\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x: \alpha(g, x) \notin Q'\}} \|\pi(\alpha(g^{-1}, x)^{-1})(f_\xi(g^{-1} \cdot x)) - \xi\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + 4\frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} = \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is a Λ -invariant unit vector $f_0 \in L^2(X, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\|f_0 - f_\xi\|_{L^2(X, \mathcal{X})} \leq \frac{1}{10}$. Let $V_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the subspace of $L^2(X, \mathcal{X})$ consisting of constant functions. Since $\|f_0 - f_\xi\| \leq \frac{1}{10}$, the projection of f_0 onto $V_{\mathcal{X}}$ is not 0, so let $f = \text{proj}_{V_{\mathcal{X}}}(f_0) / \|\text{proj}_{V_{\mathcal{X}}}(f_0)\|$ and suppose $f = f_{\xi_0}$ for some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$. Note that $V_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a Λ invariant subspace. Since π^α is a unitary representation, we must then have for $h \in \Lambda$ that

$$\pi^\alpha(h)(\text{proj}_{V_{\mathcal{X}}} f_0) = \text{proj}_{V_{\mathcal{X}}}(\pi^\alpha(h)f_0) = \text{proj}_{V_{\mathcal{X}}}(f_0).$$

Hence f is Λ -invariant, and so $\pi(\rho(h))(\xi_0) = \xi_0$. This shows that $(H, \rho(\Lambda))$ has property (T). $\square$

§3. ORBIT EQUIVALENCE

We consider the following set-up: Γ is a countably infinite group, $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a p.m.p. malleable action of Γ and $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ is an infinite subgroup such that $\sigma|_\Lambda$ is weakly mixing. Additionally, there is a compact 2nd countable group K acting in a measure preserving way on (X, μ) , the action of which commutes with σ . The action of K gives rise to a factor (Y, ν) consisting of K -equivalence classes, and we have the factor map

$$\theta : x \rightarrow [x]_K.$$

The measure ν is the push-forward measure of μ . Note that (Y, ν) is standard because K is assumed to be compact. Γ acts on (Y, ν) in a p.m.p. way, and we denote this action σ^K . (The action of K will always be implicit.)

The quotients of Bernoulli shifts $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ discussed in §1 is an example of this situation. We note the following easy fact about $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$:

3.1. LEMMA. *If Λ is an infinite subgroup of Γ , then $\sigma^{\hat{A}}|_{\Lambda}$ is mixing.*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq \hat{A}^{\Gamma}$ be Borel and $\hat{A}$ -invariant. Since the Bernoulli shift σ is mixing on all infinite subgroups it holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$ that the set of $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that $|\mu(\sigma(\gamma)(B) \cap B) - \mu(B)^2| \geq \varepsilon$ is finite. Hence $\sigma^{\hat{A}}|_{\Lambda}$ is mixing. $\square$

The following Lemma is certainly implicit in [17]:

3.2. LEMMA. *Let Γ be a countable group with the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup Λ and let A be a countably infinite abelian group. Suppose $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a p.m.p. action with $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$ weakly mixing, and that $\hat{A} = \text{Char}(A)$ acts on (X, μ) in a free, measure preserving way commuting with the action of Γ . Let (Y, ν) be the corresponding factor, $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ the factor map and let $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ be the quotient action. Then $H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma) = \{1\}$ implies that $H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = A$.*

Proof. For each $\alpha \in Z^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}})$, let $\alpha' \in Z^1(\sigma)$ be

$$\alpha'(g, x) = \alpha(g, \theta(x)).$$

By assumption we can find $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $\beta \in C_{\Lambda}(\sigma)$ such that

$$\alpha'(g, x) = f(g \cdot x)\beta(g, x)f(x)^*.$$

CLAIM 1. There is a character $\chi : \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $(\forall a \in \hat{A}) f(a \cdot x) = \chi(a)f(x)$.

Proof of Claim 1: To see this, note that since α' is $\hat{A}$ -invariant we have for all $a \in \hat{A}$ and $g \in \Lambda$ that

$$f(g \cdot x)\beta(g, x)f(x)^* = f(g \cdot a \cdot x)\beta(g, a \cdot x)f(a \cdot x)^*.$$

Using that $\beta(g, x)$ does not depend on x for $g \in \Lambda$, this gives us

$$f(g \cdot x)f(x)^* = f(g \cdot a \cdot x)f(a \cdot x)^*,$$

and using that the Γ and $\hat{A}$ actions commute this in turn gives us

$$f(a \cdot g \cdot x)^*f(g \cdot x) = f(a \cdot x)^*f(x).$$

Hence $f(a \cdot x)^*f(x)$ is Λ -invariant, and since the Λ -action is weakly mixing this means it must be constant. Thus

$$f(a \cdot x) = c_a f(x)$$

for some constant c_a . Let $\chi(a) = c_a$. $\square$

It is easy to check now that if we define

$$\gamma_f(g, x) = f(g \cdot x)f(x)^*$$

then this also defines a 1-cocycle of $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$, since $\gamma_f(g, x)$ is $\hat{A}$ invariant. Moreover, $\beta(g, x)$ is also $\hat{A}$ -invariant, since

$$\beta(g, x) = \alpha'(g, x)f(g \cdot x)^*f(x).$$

Hence $\beta(g, x)$ is a $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ 1-cocycle in $C_\Lambda(\sigma^{\hat{A}})$. Let $E \subseteq Z^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}})$ denote the subgroup of all 1-cocycles δ satisfying

$$\delta(g, \theta(x)) = f(g \cdot_\sigma x)f(x)^*$$

for some $\hat{A}$ -eigenfunction $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. By the above we have $Z^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = EC_\Lambda(\sigma^{\hat{A}})$, and by Lemma 2.4 we also have $E \cap C_\Lambda(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = \{1\}$, and so it follows that

$$H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = EC_\Lambda(\sigma^{\hat{A}})/B^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}})C_\Lambda(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = E/B^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}).$$

Since $\hat{A}$ is compact and acts freely on X it is possible for each character $\chi : \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ to find a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $f(a \cdot x) = \chi(a)f(x)$ a.e. Hence

$$H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) = E/B^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}}) \simeq \text{Char}(\hat{A}) = A.$$

□

Recall that if E is a measure preserving equivalence relation then $\text{Inn}(E)$ is the group of measure preserving transformations $T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $xET(x)$ a.e. Then we have:

3.3. LEMMA. *Suppose σ and τ a.e. free p.m.p. actions of a countable group Γ on (X, μ) generating the same orbit equivalence relation $E_\sigma = E_\tau = E$. Suppose $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ is a subgroup and that there is $T \in \text{Inn}(E)$ such that $T\sigma T^{-1}|_\Lambda = \tau|_\Lambda$. Then $H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma) \simeq H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\tau)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\sigma|_\Lambda = \tau|_\Lambda$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the cocycle defined by $\tau(\alpha(g, x))(x) = \sigma(g)(x)$. Then $\alpha|_\Lambda = \text{Id}$. For each $\beta \in Z^1(\tau)$ define

$$\tilde{\beta}(g, x) = \beta(\alpha(g, x), x).$$

Then $\beta \mapsto \tilde{\beta}$ is an isomorphism $Z^1(\tau) \rightarrow Z^1(\sigma)$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\beta}(gg', x) &= \beta(\alpha(gg', x), x) \\
&= \beta(\alpha(g, \sigma(g')(x))\alpha(g'), x, x) \\
&= \beta(\alpha(g, \sigma(g')(x)), \tau(\alpha(g', x))(x))\beta(\alpha(g', x), x) \\
&= \beta(\alpha(g, \sigma(g')(x)), \sigma(g')(x))\beta(\alpha(g', x), x) \\
&= \tilde{\beta}(g, \sigma(g')(x))\tilde{\beta}(g', x).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\gamma \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\tilde{\beta}(\gamma, x) = \beta(\alpha(\gamma, x), x) = \beta(\gamma, x).$$

Hence $\beta \mapsto \tilde{\beta}$ maps $B_{\Lambda, r}^1(\tau)$ isomorphically onto $B_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma)$, and so it follows that $H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\tau) \simeq H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma)$. $\square$

Before stating the next Lemma, we recall various basic notions from [21]. Let E be a measure preserving equivalence relation. We will say that two actions σ and τ of a countable group Γ with $E_\sigma, E_\tau \subseteq E$ such as in the previous Lemma are *E-inner conjugate on Λ* if there is $T \in \text{Inn}(E)$ such that

$$T\sigma|_{\Lambda}T^{-1} = \tau|_{\Lambda}.$$

Following [21], we will say that a p.m.p. action σ of the group Γ is *ergodic on Λ* (resp. *weakly mixing on Λ*), where $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$, just in case $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$ is ergodic (resp. weakly mixing) as a Λ action. The following was proved in [21], Lemma 4.1:

3.4. LEMMA. *Suppose Γ has the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ and let E be a measure preserving countable equivalence relation. Then there are at most countably many ergodic on Λ p.m.p. Γ actions $E_\sigma \subseteq E$ that are not E-inner conjugate on Λ .*

With this in hand we now have:

3.5. THEOREM. *If Γ is a countable group with the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup Λ , then Γ has uncountably many orbit inequivalent a.e. free p.m.p. actions on a standard Borel probability space.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there are uncountably many non-isomorphic countably infinite groups $\langle A_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\sigma^{\hat{A}_\xi}$ (as defined in Lemma 3.2) are orbit equivalent for all $\xi < \omega_1$. We can assume that all

$\sigma^{\hat{A}\xi}$ generate the same orbit equivalence relation E . By the previous Lemma it follows that there are $\xi_1, \xi_2 < \omega_1$, $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, such that $\sigma^{\hat{A}\xi_1}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}\xi_2}$ are E -inner conjugate on Λ . But by Lemma 3.3 it then follows that

$$A_{\xi_1} \simeq H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}\xi_1}) = H_{\Lambda, r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}\xi_2}) \simeq A_{\xi_2}$$

contradicting that A_{ξ_1} and A_{ξ_2} are not isomorphic. $\square$

§4. A FINER ANALYSIS

We now aim to refine Theorem 3.5 to show that in fact the actions $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ are orbit inequivalent for non-isomorphic A . We start by noting a general lemma which is interesting in its own right:

4.1. LEMMA. *Suppose Γ is a countable group with the relative property (T) over $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$. Suppose $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is an a.e. free p.m.p. malleable action which is weakly mixing on all infinite subgroups of Λ . Suppose G is a countable group and $\tau : G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is an a.e. free p.m.p. action which is ergodic on all infinite subgroups and such that $E_\sigma = E_\tau$ a.e. Then there is a homomorphism $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ such that $(G, \rho(\Lambda))$ has the relative property (T), $H_{\rho(\Lambda)}(\tau) \simeq H_\Lambda(\sigma)$ and $H_{\rho(\Lambda), r}(\tau) \simeq H_{\Lambda, r}(\sigma) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let $E = E_\sigma = E_\tau$. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G$ be the cocycle defined by

$$\tau(\alpha(\gamma, x))(x) = \sigma(\gamma)(x).$$

Since σ fulfills the hypothesis of the local superrigidity Theorem 2.6, we can find $\psi : X \rightarrow G$ and a homomorphism $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Lambda) \psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) \alpha(\gamma, x) \psi(x)^{-1} = \rho(\gamma).$$

Define $\Psi(x) = \psi(x) \cdot_\tau x$. Then $\Psi \subseteq E$ and for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) &= \psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) \cdot_\tau (\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) = (\psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) \alpha(\gamma, x)) \cdot_\tau x \\ &= (\rho(\gamma) \psi(x)) \cdot_\tau x = \rho(\gamma) \cdot_\tau \Psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Ψ conjugates the Λ and $\rho(\Lambda)$ actions via ρ , that is

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Lambda) \Psi(\gamma \cdot_\sigma x) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot_\tau \Psi(x). \quad (1)$$

CLAIM 1: $|\ker(\rho)| < \infty$.

Proof of Claim 1: Suppose not. The map Ψ is $\ker(\rho)$ invariant by (1) and so since σ is ergodic on $\ker(\rho)$ by assumption, we have that Ψ is constant on a measure 1 set. But this contradicts that $\Psi \subseteq E$. $\square$

It follows that $\rho(\Lambda)$ is infinite. Since moreover $\Psi(X)$ is $\rho(\Lambda)$ invariant, it follows by the ergodicity assumptions for the G action that $\Psi(X)$ has full measure. Let Ψ' be a Borel right inverse of Ψ , i.e. $\Psi(\Psi'(y)) = y$. Then Ψ' is 1-1 and $\Psi' \subseteq E$, and so Ψ' is measure preserving (see [15], proposition 2.1.) Thus $\mu(\Psi'(X)) = 1$ and so Ψ is in fact a measure preserving transformation, with $\Psi' = \Psi^{-1}$. Note that it now follows that $\ker(\rho) = \{1\}$ so that $\rho(\Lambda)$ is in fact isomorphic to Λ . Moreover, $(G, \rho(\Lambda))$ has property (T) by Proposition 2.9.

CLAIM 2: $H_{\rho(\Lambda)}^1(\tau) \simeq H_{\Lambda}^1(\sigma)$ and $H_{\rho(\Lambda),r}^1(\tau) \simeq H_{\Lambda,r}^1(\sigma)$.

Proof of Claim 2: The proof is similar to Lemma 3.3. After conjugating the G -action with Ψ , we can assume that

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Lambda) \sigma(\gamma)(x) = \tau(\rho(\gamma))(x) \text{ (a.e.)}$$

Note that since Ψ is inner, we still have that $E_{\sigma} = E_{\tau}$. Let $\alpha_0 : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding cocycle defined by $\tau(\alpha_0(\gamma, x))(x) = \sigma(\gamma)(x)$. Then for $\gamma \in \Lambda$ we have $\alpha_0(\gamma, x) = \rho(\gamma)$. Now we can proceed exactly as in Lemma 3.3 by defining an isomorphism $Z^1(\tau) \rightarrow Z^1(\sigma) : \beta \rightarrow \tilde{\beta}$ by

$$\tilde{\beta}(\gamma, x) = \beta(\alpha_0(\gamma, x), x)$$

and verify that $\beta \mapsto \tilde{\beta}$ maps $B_{\rho(\Lambda)}^1(\tau)$ isomorphically onto $B_{\Lambda}^1(\sigma)$, and $B_{\rho(\Lambda),r}^1(\tau)$ isomorphically onto $B_{\Lambda,r}^1(\sigma)$. $\square$

Finally $H_{\Lambda,r}^1(\sigma) = \{1\}$ follows from Corollary 2.8. $\square$

We now prove the “quotient” version of the previous Lemma:

4.2. LEMMA *Suppose Γ , Λ and $\sigma : \Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ are as in the previous Lemma. Suppose A is a countably infinite Abelian group, $\hat{A} = \text{Char}(A)$ its dual, that $\hat{A}$ acts in a free, measure preserving way on (X, μ) , and that the action of $\hat{A}$ and σ commute. Let (Y, ν) be the corresponding quotient, $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ the quotient map, $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ the quotient action. Then if G is a countable group and $\tau : G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is a p.m.p. a.e. free action of G which*

is ergodic on all infinite subgroups and $E_\tau = E_{\sigma^{\hat{A}}}$, then there is a subgroup $K \leq G$ such that (G, K) has property (T) and $H_{K,r}^1(\tau) = A$.

Proof. Since $E_{\sigma^{\hat{A}}} = E_\tau$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and τ are a.e. free, we have a measurable cocycle $\alpha : G \times Y \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\tau(g)(x) = \sigma^{\hat{A}}(\alpha(g, x))(x)$. Let $\alpha' : G \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the lifted cocycle defined by $\alpha'(\gamma, x) = \alpha(\gamma, \theta(x))$. Note that α' determines an a.e. free p.m.p. action τ' of G on X by

$$\tau'(g)(x) = \sigma(\alpha'(g, x))(x) = \sigma(\alpha(g, \theta(x)))(x).$$

Namely, by this definition

$$\theta(\tau'(g)(x)) = \sigma^{\hat{A}}(\alpha(g, \theta(x))(\theta(x))) = \tau(g)(\theta(x)) \quad (2)$$

for all $g \in G$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'(g_1 g_2)(x) &= \sigma(\alpha'(g_1 g_2, x))(x) = \sigma(\alpha(g_1, \tau(g_2)(\theta(x)))\alpha(g_2, \theta(x)))(x) \\ &= \sigma(\alpha(g_1, \tau(g_2)(\theta(x)))\sigma(\alpha(g_2, \theta(x)))(x) \\ &= \sigma(\alpha(g_1, \theta(\tau'(g_2)(x))))\tau'(g_2)(x) \\ &= \tau'(g_1)\tau'(g_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

By the previous Lemma, we now have that G has property (T) over some infinite subgroup $K \leq G$, and that $H_{K,r}^1(\tau') = \{1\}$. But then by the Lemma 3.2 and (2) we have that $H_{K,r}^1(\tau) = A$, since τ and the action of $\hat{A}$ commute. $\square$

THEOREM 1. *Suppose Γ is a countably infinite group with the relative property (T) over $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ and G is any countably infinite group. Let A, A' be countably infinite abelian groups and let $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ be quotients of classical Bernoulli shifts of Γ and G respectively. Then if $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ are orbit equivalent, then A and A' are isomorphic.*

Proof. We apply the previous Lemma to $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$. Then it follows that G has the relative property (T) over some infinite subgroup $K \leq G$ and that $A' \simeq H_{K,r}^1(\sigma^{\hat{A}'}) \simeq A$. $\square$

4.3. COROLLARY. *Let Γ, A, A' be as in Theorem 1, and let $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ be quotients of Γ -Bernoulli shifts. Then $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ are orbit equivalent if and only if A is isomorphic to A' .*

Proof. By Theorem 1, it suffices to note that if A is isomorphic to A' then clearly $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}'}$ are conjugate, so they are in particular orbit equivalent. $\square$

§5. ORBIT EQUIVALENCE IS NOT BOREL

Let Γ be a countable group, (X, μ) a standard Borel probability space. We denote by $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ the group of all μ -measure preserving transformations of X , and equip it with the weak topology (see [8].) We let

$$\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X) = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)^\Gamma : (\forall g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma) \sigma(g_1 g_2) = \sigma(g_1) \sigma(g_2)\}.$$

Since this set is closed in the product topology it is Polish, and we naturally identify $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X)$ with the space of all measure preserving actions of Γ on X . There are various natural subspaces, namely, the a.e. free actions which we denote by $\mathcal{A}^*(\Gamma, X)$ and the ergodic a.e. free actions, denoted $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)$.

It is natural consider the relations of conjugacy and orbit equivalence in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X)$, or $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)$. We denote them by $\simeq$ and $\simeq_{oe}$, respectively. It is easy to see that conjugacy is, *prima facie*, an analytic equivalence relation induced by the natural conjugation action of $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X)$. It can be shown (see below) that orbit equivalence is also an analytic equivalence relation. However, Dye's Theorem implies that orbit equivalence has only one class in $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, so it is certainly not just analytic here, it is Borel. The main goal of this section is to prove:

THEOREM 2, (v.1). *Let Γ be a countably infinite group with the relative property (T). Then orbit equivalence, considered as an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)$, is complete analytic, and so in particular is not Borel.*

5.1. Borel reducibility. To prove Theorem 2, we will utilize the theory of *Borel reducibility* of equivalence relations that has been developed extensively in descriptive set theory. Let X, Y be Polish spaces and E, F be equivalence relations on X, Y , respectively. (We do not assume that X and Y have any other structure than their Polish topology, and we do not assume anything about E and F for the moment, other than they are equivalence relations.) Then E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , written $E \leq_B F$, if there is a Borel $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$xEy \iff f(x)Ff(y).$$

A quick introduction to the significance of this notion is given in the introduction of [21]. Here it suffices to say that $\leq_B$ gives a degree theory for the complexity of equivalence relations.

Let $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ denote the space of countably infinite Abelian groups, $\simeq_{\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}}$ the isomorphism relation among such groups. $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ can be identified with the following Polish space:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} = \{(\cdot, e) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N} : & ((\forall i, j, k \in \mathbb{N})(i \cdot j) \cdot k = i \cdot (j \cdot k)) \wedge \\ & ((\forall j \in \mathbb{N})e \cdot j = j) \wedge \\ & ((\forall k \in \mathbb{N})(\exists l \in \mathbb{N})k \cdot l = e) \wedge \\ & (\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N})i \cdot j = j \cdot i\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly a closed set in the product topology, and so it is Polish. Note that $\simeq_{\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}}$ is induced by the natural action of the infinite symmetric group S_∞ on $\mathbb{N}$. For notational convenience, if $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ then we will write $\cdot_G$ for multiplication in G and e_G for the identity in G , i.e. $G = (\cdot_G, e_G)$.

It is known by Theorem 6 of [6] that the isomorphism relation for Abelian p -groups is complete analytic. Hence Theorem 2 version 1 will follow at once from Theorem 2 version 2 below, which itself is a consequence of Theorem 1. Note that per the usual convention in descriptive set theory, $\simeq_{oe}^{\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)}$ denotes the restriction of $\simeq_{oe}$ to $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)$.

THEOREM 2 (v.2). *If Γ is a countably infinite group with the relative property (T) and (X, μ) is a standard Borel probability space then $\simeq_{\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}}$ is Borel reducible to $\simeq_{oe}^{\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)}$.*

Remark. Let $\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}$ denotes the subset of $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ consisting of torsion free Abelian groups. It was shown by Hjorth in [11] that if E is an equivalence relation on a Polish space X and $\simeq_{\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}} \leq_B E$ then E cannot be Borel. Using Hjorth's technique, Downey and Montalban have recently shown in [3] that in fact $\simeq_{\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}}$ is a complete analytic subset of $\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}^2$. Theorem 2, v.2 clearly shows that $\simeq_{\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}} \leq_B \simeq_{oe}^{\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)}$ and so the result of Downey and Montalban gives another reason why $\simeq_{oe}^{\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, X)}$ is complete analytic.

The proof of Theorem 2, v.2, involves an amount of coding since the measure preserving actions we used to prove Theorem 1 are defined on different probability spaces. We need a few general lemmata to deal with this. The reader should know that we rely heavily on the results in [14], chapters 4.F, 12 and 17 and 28; it is indeed the correct reference for all the descriptive set theory needed here.

5.2. LEMMA. Suppose X is a Polish space and let $P_c(X)$ denote the Polish space of continuous probability measures on X . Then there is a Borel map $f : P_c(X) \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $\mu \in P_c(X)$ the map $f(\mu, \cdot) = f_\mu$ is a μ -measure preserving bijection from a set of full μ -measure in X onto a set of full measure in $([0, 1], m)$, where m is Lebesgue measure.

Proof. We may assume that $X = [0, 1]$. Then we can go ahead as in the proof of [14, 17.41], and define

$$f(\mu, x) = \mu([0, x]).$$

By [14, 17.25], this is Borel. Exactly as in the proof of [14, 17.41], we have that f_μ is a measure preserving bijection between sets of full measure, so f is as promised. $\square$

Let

$$C = \{(G, x) \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} : (\forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}) x(g_1 \cdot_G g_2) = x(g_1)x(g_2)\}.$$

Then for each $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ the set C_G is exactly the set of characters on the group $\langle \mathbb{N}, \cdot_G, e_G \rangle$. Since C_G is compact we have by [14, 28.8] that the map $\text{Char} : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ where $\text{Char}(G) = C_G$ is Borel, where $K(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ denotes the compact hyperspace of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as defined in [14, 4F]. We now have

5.3. LEMMA. The map $H : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$, which assigns to $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ the Haar measure on $\text{Char}(G)$, is Borel.

Proof. Let (O_n) be a countable basis for the topology on $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all finite partial functions f with $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{ran}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. For each such f , let

$$U_f = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} : (\forall i \in \text{dom}(f)) x(i) \in O_{f(i)}\}.$$

Then $(U_f)_{f \in \mathbb{P}}$ forms a countable basis for the product topology on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$, which is invariant under the action of the full permutation group S_∞ of $\mathbb{N}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $F_f = \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus U_f$. Define

$$H = \{(G, \mu) \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times P(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}) : \mu(\text{Char}(G)) = 1 \wedge (\forall f \in \mathbb{P})(\forall g \in \mathbb{N}) \mu(F_f) = \mu(F_{g.f})\}$$

where $g.f(i) = j \iff f(g^{-1} \cdot i) = j$. By [14, 17.29] H is Borel, and by definition we have $(G, \mu) \in H$ precisely when μ is the Haar measure on $\text{Char}(G)$. By the uniqueness of the Haar measure and [14, 14.12] it follows that H defines a Borel function $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ as required. $\square$

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is Borel, X, Y Polish spaces, and μ a measure on X , then we denote by $f[\mu]$ the push-forward measure on Y . (Note that our notation differs from [14] here, but is in line with [21]):

5.4. LEMMA. *If $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Borel map then there is a Borel $f^* : X \times P(Y) \rightarrow P(Z)$ such that $f^*(x, \mu) = f_x[\mu]$, where $f_x : Y \rightarrow Z : y \mapsto f(x, y)$.*

Proof. By [14] 17.27 and 17.40 the map $X \times P(Y) \rightarrow P(X \times Y) : (x, y) \mapsto \delta_x \times \mu$ is Borel. So by [14, 17.28] we have that the map $X \times P(Y) \rightarrow P(Z) : (x, \mu) \mapsto f[\delta_x \times \mu]$ is Borel. Now note that $f[\delta_x \times \mu] = f_x[\mu]$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2, v.2. Let Γ be a fixed countably infinite group and let $X = (\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N})^\Gamma$. Consider $K(X)$, the space of compact subsets of X . Note that Γ acts on $K(X)$ since it acts on X by left-shift, and for each $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$, $\text{Char}(G) = C_G$ acts naturally on X . Consider the map $f : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times X \rightarrow K(X)$ defined by

$$f(G, x) = [x]_{C_G}.$$

The map f is Borel since if we fix Borel $d_n : K(X) \rightarrow X$ and $d'_n : K(\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N}$ as in [14] 12.13, with $(d_n(K))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ dense in K for all $K \in K(X)$ and $(d'_n(K'))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ dense in K' for all $K' \in K(\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N})$, then

$$f(G, x) = K \iff (\forall n)(\exists \chi \in C_G)\chi \cdot x = d_n(K) \wedge (\forall m)d'_m(C_G) \cdot K = K$$

gives an analytic definition of the graph of f , which suffices by [14] 14.12. We identify the space C_G^Γ/C_G with the range of $f_G = f(G, \cdot)$.

Let $f^* : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times P(X) \rightarrow P(K(X))$ be as in Lemma 5.4. Let H be as in Lemma 5.3; then we have a map $H^\Gamma : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \rightarrow P((\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N})^\Gamma)$ such that $H^\Gamma(G)$ the product measure $H(G)^\Gamma$ and this map is Borel by (the obvious generalization of) [14, 17.40]. Note that $f^*(G, H^\Gamma(G))$ is the push-forward measure on C_G^Γ/C_G of the measure $H^\Gamma(G)$ under the map f_G . Now fix a map $f_0 : P(K(X)) \times K(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 5.2. Define

$$\theta : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times K(X) \rightarrow [0, 1] : \theta(G, K) = f_0(f^*(G, H^\Gamma(G)), K).$$

Then for each $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$ the map $\theta_G = \theta(G, \cdot)$ defines a measure preserving bijection between co-null subsets of $(C_G^\Gamma/C_G, f^*(G, H^\Gamma(G)))$ and $([0, 1], m)$. Define $\Theta : \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0} \times \Gamma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\Theta(G)(g)(x) = y \iff (\exists K \in K(X))\theta_G(K) = x \wedge \theta_G(g \cdot K) = y.$$

Since the measure quantifiers preserve analyticity (see [14] p. 233) Θ is a Borel function, and by construction Θ_G is a measure preserving Γ -action on $[0, 1]$ which is conjugate with the action of Γ on C_G^Γ/C_G , for all $G \in \text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$. Corollary 4.3 now guarantees that $G \mapsto \Theta_G$ is a Borel reduction of $\simeq_{\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}}$ to orbit equivalence in $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0, 1])$. $\square$

In order to verify Theorem 2, v.1, it suffices to prove the following easy lemma.

5.5. LEMMA. *If Γ is a countable group then $\simeq_{oe}$ is an analytic subset of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X, \mu) \times \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, X, \mu)$.*

Proof. As proved in Lemma 3 in [22], there is a Borel relation $E \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, \mu) \times X \times X$ such that for each $S \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ we have that

$$\tilde{S}(x) = y \iff E(S, x, y)$$

defines a measure preserving Borel function $\tilde{S}$ a.e. such that $\tilde{S} \in S$. Define

$$R(\sigma, x, y) \iff (\exists g \in \Gamma) E(\sigma(g), x, y).$$

Then

$$(\forall^\mu x, y) x E_\sigma y \iff R(\sigma, x, y)$$

and thus

$$\sigma \simeq_{oe} \tau \iff (\exists T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)) (\forall^\mu x, y) R(\sigma, x, y) \iff R(T\tau T^{-1}, x, y),$$

which proves that $\simeq_{oe}$ is analytic, since the measure quantifiers preserve analyticity. $\square$

Remark 1. Clearly the proof also gives a Borel reduction of $\simeq_{\text{TFA}_{\aleph_0}}$ to *conjugacy* of measure preserving actions. We explicitly note that the following corollary, which should be compared with the result of a similar nature for $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions, due to Foreman, Rudolph and Weiss, in [4]:

5.6. COROLLARY. *If Γ is a countably infinite group with the relative property (T) then the conjugacy relation for ergodic, a.e. free p.m.p. actions of Γ on $[0, 1]$ is analytic, but not Borel.*

Remark 2. The results of [21] imply that under fairly general conditions, if a countably infinite group Γ has the relative property (T), then both conjugacy and orbit equivalence of p.m.p. ergodic a.e. free actions of Γ is not classifiable by “countable structures” (as defined in [10]), which in particular implies that it is not possible to Borel reduce conjugacy and orbit equivalence in this setting to $\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}$. Thus we have the following:

5.7. COROLLARY. *If Γ has the relative property (T) over an infinite subgroup which either contains an infinite abelian subgroup, or is normal in Γ , then $\simeq_{\text{ABEL}_{\aleph_0}} <_B \simeq_{oe}^{\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0,1])}$. The same holds for the conjugacy relation in $\mathcal{A}_e^*(\Gamma, [0,1])$.*

The normality condition in Corollary 5.6 can be replaced with the technically weaker notion of being index stable; we refer the reader to the last section of [21] for details.

Note: Since the appearance of this paper, Ioana, Kechris and Tsankov have shown that if Γ is any non-amenable countable discrete group, then orbit equivalence of its measure preserving ergodic (indeed mixing) actions are not classifiable by countable structures, see [12].

References

- [1] H. Becker, A. Kechris, *The descriptive set theory of Polish group actions*, London Mathematical Society lecture notes, vol. 232 (1996), Cambridge University Press.
- [2] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette, *Kazhdan’s Property (T)*, Cambridge University Press, (2008).
- [3] R. Downey, A. Montalban, *The isomorphism problem for torsion free abelian groups is analytic complete*, J. Algebra vol. 320 (2008), no. 6, pp. 2291–2300.
- [4] M. Foreman, D. Rudolph, B. Weiss *On the conjugacy relation in ergodic theory*, Comptes Rendus Mathematique, vol. 343 (2006), Issue 10, pp. 653–656.
- [5] M. Foreman, B. Weiss, *An anti-classification theorem for ergodic measure preserving transformations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 6 (2004), no. 3, pp. 277–292
- [6] H. Friedman, Lee Stanley, *A Borel reducibility theory for classes of countable structures*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 54, no. 3 (1989), pp. 894–914.
- [7] A. Furman, *On Popa’s cocycle superrigidity theorem*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 19, Art. ID rnm073, 46 pp.

- [8] P. Halmos, *Lectures on ergodic theory*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York (1956).
- [9] G. Hjorth, *A converse to Dye's theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 357 (2005) no. 8, pp. 3083–3103
- [10] G. Hjorth, *Classification and Orbit Equivalence Relations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 75, American Mathematical Society (2000)
- [11] G. Hjorth, *The isomorphism relation on countable torsion free abelian groups*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 175, (2002), pp. 241–257.
- [12] A. Ioana, A. Kechris, T. Tsankov, *Subequivalence relations and positive-definite functions*, to appear in Groups, Geometry and Dynamics, (2009).
- [13] P. Jolissaint, *On property (T) for pairs of topological groups*, Enseign. Math., (2) 51 (2005), no. 1-2, pp. 31–45.
- [14] A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, (1995).
- [15] A. Kechris, *Lectures on costs and equivalence relations and groups*, Lecture notes, Caltech, (2001).
- [16] A. Kechris, *Global aspects of ergodic group actions and equivalence relations*, to appear in the series "Mathematical Surveys and Monographs" of the AMS.
- [17] Popa, S. *Some computations of 1-cohomology groups and construction of non-orbit-equivalent actions*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 5 (2006), no. 2, pp. 309–332
- [18] Popa, S. *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w -rigid groups*, to appear in Invent.Math. (math.GR/0512646)
- [19] K. Schmidt, *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the sphere*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, vol. 37 (1980), pp. 193–208.
- [20] K. Schmidt, *Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 1 (1981), pp. 223–236.
- [21] A. Törnquist, *Conjugacy, orbit equivalence and classification for measure preserving group actions*, Ergodic Theory And Dynamical Systems (electronic, 2008).
- [22] A. Törnquist, *Orbit equivalence and actions of $\mathbb{F}_n$* , Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 71, no. 1 (2006), pp. 265–282.

KURT GÖDEL RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA
WÄHRINGER STRASSE 25, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA
E-mail: `asger@logic.univie.ac.at`

Formerly:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
40 ST. GEORGE STREET, ROOM 6092, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA